

A New Dawn for Arbitration in Indonesia under Supreme Court Regulation No. 3 of 2023



Despite ongoing demands for the revision of Law No. 30 of 1999 on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution (“**Arbitration Law**”), which has remained effective for 24 years, no amendments have been made so far. This lack of amendments has sparked concerns among legal practitioners and academics, who worry that this outdated law might discourage users, especially international parties, from choosing arbitration in Indonesia. Two recent surveys¹ underscore the pivotal role of domestic arbitration law in attracting users and positioning Indonesia as a preferred seat for arbitration. A ‘modern’ arbitration law is also deemed essential for recognition as a ‘safe’ seat under the CI Arb London Centenary Principles.²

Against this backdrop, the Supreme Court recently announced a new era for both domestic and international arbitration by enacting Supreme Court Regulation No. 3/2023 on the Procedure for the Appointment of Arbitrators by the Court, Challenge Rights, Examination of Enforcement Applications, and Arbitral Award Annulment (“**Regulation**”). This client alert will highlight key features of the Regulation, detailing the changes that will reshape Indonesia as the next emerging dispute resolution hub across the continent.

¹ 2021 International Arbitration Survey: Adapting Arbitration to a Changing World by Queen Mary University of London and the Singapore International Dispute Resolution Academy, International Dispute Resolution Survey: 2022 Final Report.

² Chartered Institute of Arbitrators London Centenary Principles 2015

Client Update: Indonesia

20 December 2023

New Definition of Public Order

Previously, under Supreme Court Regulation No. 1 of 1990 on the Execution Procedures for Foreign Arbitral Awards (“**1990 Regulation**”), public order is deemed to be violated if an arbitral award “*evidently contradicts the fundamental principles of the entire legal system and society in Indonesia*”. In comparison, the Regulation now defines public order as “*everything that constitutes the very foundation essential for the functioning of the legal system, economic system, and socio-cultural system of the Indonesian community and nation*”.

The Regulation introduces a nuanced perspective, broadening the definition of public policy, emphasising its role in the effective operation of Indonesia's legal, economic, and socio-cultural systems. This inclusive framework offers a detailed approach to interpreting and applying public policy in enforcing arbitral awards. In contrast to the 1990 Regulation, which focuses on evident contradictions, the Regulation takes a holistic view, recognising the intricate interplay of elements crucial for Indonesia's societal and legal structures. This comprehensive perspective in the Regulation establishes a robust foundation for ensuring the integrity of the arbitral enforcement process. However, the impact of this revised definition on judges' approaches to interpreting 'public order', especially in the context of foreign arbitral awards, remains to be seen.

Imposition of Deadlines to the Court

Time management for the court is a pivotal focus under the Regulation, a departure from previous legal instruments that left many procedural aspects unregulated. This legislative update introduces specific timelines, addressing the previous uncertainty surrounding the duration of arbitration proceedings. Among others, the Regulation now mandates:

1. A 14-day deadline for the district court to appoint an arbitrator upon receiving the request from either party.
2. A 14-day deadline for the district court to rule on challenges against a court-appointed arbitrator upon receipt of such challenges from either party.
3. A 3-day deadline for court bailiffs to register a domestic arbitral award and a 14-day deadline for a foreign arbitral award.
4. A 14-day deadline for the court to issue an exequatur for the enforcement of a foreign arbitral award upon application.
5. A 30-day deadline for the court to rule on the recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award upon registration.

Client Update: Indonesia

20 December 2023

These imposed timelines underscore the Supreme Court's commitment to streamlining arbitration processes, ensuring efficiency, and providing parties with clear expectations regarding the commencement and duration of arbitration proceedings.

Enhanced Procedural Clarity

The Regulation introduces crucial provisions containing technical guidance in commencing arbitration, particularly in its interaction with the court. This newfound clarity is expected to discourage guerrilla tactics by parties attempting to derail arbitration and empower them with a clear understanding of their right to present their case before the court. Key improvements include:

1. When entertaining a request to appoint an arbitrator or addressing challenges to a court-appointed arbitrator, the courts, under the Regulation, will weigh the views of both parties as well as the arbitrators (if applicable).
2. The respondent's response does not factor into the court's consideration when issuing an executory order for enforcing a domestic arbitral award or granting an exequatur for enforcing a foreign arbitral award.
3. In cases where a domestic arbitral award is simultaneously submitted for annulment and execution, the execution process is halted until the annulment process concludes.
4. The annulment proceedings for a domestic arbitral award under the Regulation, follow a specific court session order: the reading of the application, the responses of the annulment application, interim decisions (if any), evidentiary stage, concluding with a session for reading the final decision.

Procedural Guidance on Security Seizure

The Arbitration Law vested tribunals with the power to order security seizures. However, this provision lacked clarity in terms of the execution and enforcement mechanisms for such orders, leading to potential procedural ambiguities.

Article 29 of the Regulation specifically addresses this issue. It stipulates that, in instances where a tribunal orders a security seizure as part of the arbitration process, the next critical step is for the tribunal to formally register this order with the relevant court. This registration is a pivotal act that transitions the responsibility for execution from the hands of the arbitral tribunal to the court. Once the court is involved, it takes over the practical aspects of executing the seizure order. The Regulation also mandates the court to inform the arbitrator or the arbitral institution of the minutes of the execution of security seizure within two days upon the execution of the order.

Client Update: Indonesia

20 December 2023

Conclusion

The Regulation introduces a transformative chapter in the Indonesian arbitration landscape, addressing longstanding concerns with outdated laws. The nuanced definition of public order, imposition of court deadlines, enhanced procedural clarity, and procedural guidance on security seizure collectively propel Indonesia towards a more efficient, transparent, and globally competitive arbitration scenery. These strategic changes not only modernise legal frameworks but also position Indonesia as an attractive hub for both domestic and international dispute resolution, instilling confidence in the integrity and efficiency of its arbitration processes.

Contacts



Eri Hertiawan
Partner

D +62 21 2555 7883
eri.hertiawan@ahp.id



Simon Barrie Sasmoyo
Partner

D +62 21 2555 7838
simon.sasmoyo@ahp.id

[Kukuh Dwi Herlangga](#) and [Albertus Aldio Primadi](#) also contributed to this alert.

Regional Contacts

R&T SOK & HENG | *Cambodia*
R&T Sok & Heng Law Office
T +855 23 963 112 / 113
F +855 23 963 116
kh.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN | *Myanmar*
Rajah & Tann Myanmar Company Limited
T +95 1 9345 343 / +95 1 9345 346
F +95 1 9345 348
mm.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN 立杰上海
SHANGHAI REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE | *China*
**Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP
Shanghai Representative Office**
T +86 21 6120 8818
F +86 21 6120 8820
cn.rajahtannasia.com

GATMAYTAN YAP PATACSIL
GUTIERREZ & PROTACIO (C&G LAW) | *Philippines*
Gatmaytan Yap Patacsil Gutierrez & Protacio (C&G Law)
T +632 8894 0377 to 79 / +632 8894 4931 to 32
F +632 8552 1977 to 78
www.cagatlaw.com

ASSEGAF HAMZAH & PARTNERS | *Indonesia*
Assegaf Hamzah & Partners

Jakarta Office
T +62 21 2555 7800
F +62 21 2555 7899

RAJAH & TANN | *Singapore*
Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP
T +65 6535 3600
sg.rajahtannasia.com

Surabaya Office
T +62 31 5116 4550
F +62 31 5116 4560
www.ahp.id

RAJAH & TANN | *Thailand*
R&T Asia (Thailand) Limited
T +66 2 656 1991
F +66 2 656 0833
th.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN | *Lao PDR*
Rajah & Tann (Laos) Co., Ltd.
T +856 21 454 239
F +856 21 285 261
la.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS | *Vietnam*
Rajah & Tann LCT Lawyers

Ho Chi Minh City Office
T +84 28 3821 2382 / +84 28 3821 2673
F +84 28 3520 8206

CHRISTOPHER & LEE ONG | *Malaysia*
Christopher & Lee Ong
T +60 3 2273 1919
F +60 3 2273 8310
www.christopherleeong.com


Hanoi Office
T +84 24 3267 6127
F +84 24 3267 6128
www.rajahtannlct.com

Rajah & Tann Asia is a network of legal practices based in Asia.

Member firms are independently constituted and regulated in accordance with relevant local legal requirements. Services provided by a member firm are governed by the terms of engagement between the member firm and the client.

This update is solely intended to provide general information and does not provide any advice or create any relationship, whether legally binding or otherwise. Rajah & Tann Asia and its member firms do not accept, and fully disclaim, responsibility for any loss or damage which may result from accessing or relying on this update.

Our Regional Presence



Based in Indonesia, and consistently gaining recognition from independent observers, Assegaf Hamzah & Partners has established itself as a major force locally and regionally and is ranked as a top-tier firm in many practice areas. Founded in 2001, it has a reputation for providing advice of the highest quality to a wide variety of blue-chip corporate clients, high net worth individuals, and government institutions.

Assegaf Hamzah & Partners is part of Rajah & Tann Asia, a network of local law firms in Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam. Our Asian network also includes regional desks focused on Brunei, Japan and South Asia.

The contents of this Update are owned by Assegaf Hamzah & Partners and subject to copyright protection under the laws of Indonesia and, through international treaties, other countries. No part of this Update may be reproduced, licensed, sold, published, transmitted, modified, adapted, publicly displayed, broadcast (including storage in any medium by electronic means whether or not transiently for any purpose save as permitted herein) without the prior written permission of Assegaf Hamzah & Partners.

Please note also that whilst the information in this Update is correct to the best of our knowledge and belief at the time of writing, it is only intended to provide a general guide to the subject matter and should not be treated as a substitute for specific professional advice for any particular course of action as such information may not suit your specific business and operational requirements. It is to your advantage to seek legal advice for your specific situation. In this regard, you may call the lawyer you normally deal with in Assegaf Hamzah & Partners.